

# Winifred Baker Court

Tenant Satisfaction Measures (TSM) Scheme Report March 2025

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice





Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

Responses 14

## **TSM Key Metrics**

Keeping Properties in Good Repair		Respectful & Helpful Engagement				
Well Maintained Home	100%	- Listens and Acts	93%			
Safe Home	100%	2 Kept Informed	100%			
Repairs Last 12 Months	100%	Fairly and with Respect	93%			
Time Taken Repairs	100%	Complaints Handling	0%			
Responsible Neighbourhood Management						
Communal Areas 92%	Neighbour Contributi		93%			

Housing<sup>(2)</sup>


Housing 21 undertook a TSMbased survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specific Scheme with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green-coloured, while decreases are red.

### Year-on-Year Change – Winifred Baker Housing (2)

Court

Court	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Satisfaction	100%	100% (0)
Well Maintained Home	95%	100% (+5)
Safe Home	94%	100% (+6)
Housing Management Team	95%	100% (+5)
Communal Areas	94%	92% (-2)
Repairs Last 12 Months	92%	100% (+8) *
Time Taken Repairs	75%	100% (+25) *
Neighbourhood Contribution	75%	92% (+17)
Approach to Anti-Social Behaviour	73%	93% (+20)
Kept Informed	94%	100% (+6)
Complaints Handling	33% *	0% (-33) *
Listens and Acts	82%	93% (+11)
Fairly and with Respect	94%	93% (-2)

\*Less than 10 Responses



## Housing<sup>(2)</sup>

This chart shows the satisfaction results of this scheme (depicted

results of this scheme (depicted by the blue line) in comparison with the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for all other social housing providers.

The quartiles refer to the upper quartile (top 25%), median (average) and lower quartile (bottom 25%) of Low Cost Rental Accommodation (LCRA) properties in the sector.

### 100% 80% 60% 40% 20% 0% TP02 -TP03 -TP04 -TP09 -TP10-TP11-TP01 -TP05 -TP06 -TP07 -TP08 -TP12 -Well Complaint Neighbour Repairs Time Communal Overall Sat Safe Listens Informed Fairly ASB Sat Taken Maintained s Sat Sat hood 78.4% 787% 75.3% 77 6% 82 5% 67.9% 75.9% 82 8% 41 1% 717% 64 8% Upper Quartile 70.4% Regulator Median 71.3% 72.3% 67.4% 70.8% 76.7% 60.4% 70.3% 76.8% 34.5% 65.1% 63.1% 57.8% Lower Quartile 63.7% 65.7% 61 1% 64 4% 70 5% 52 3% 63.8% 70.8% 27 5% 58 2% 55.1% 51.3% Winifred Baker Court 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 92.9% 100.0% 92.9% 0.0% 92.3% 91.7% 92.9%

## Scheme Performance



Energy Performance Certificate Score (Average score of all properties within the scheme)	77.1
Energy Performance Certificate Rating (Average score of all properties within the scheme)	С
Energy Performance Certificate - Date of assessment	21/10/2021
Overall Care Quality Commission Score	N/A
Date of last Care Quality Commission Score	N/A
No. Repairs - Last 12 months (Nov)	98
Total Repairs Spend (£) - Last 12 months (Nov)	£20,250.36
No. Anti-Social Behaviour Cases - Last 12 months (Nov)	0
No. Stage 1 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
No. Stage 2 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
Total No. Formal Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0

## TSM Summary of Approach

TSM Summary of Approach	Housing
A. A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	14
B. Timing of survey	September 2024 to November 2024
C. Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D. Sample method	Census
E. Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F. Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G. Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
H. The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I. Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J. Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	10 x £50 shopping vouchers
K. Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported	None



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact: **Denise Raine:** denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity Tel: 01273 287114 Email: acuity@arap.co.uk Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL

