

Housing②i

Fountain Court

Tenant Satisfaction Measures (TSM) Scheme Report March 2025

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



92%

Overall Satisfaction



Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

Responses 37

TSM Key Metrics

Keeping Properties in Good Repair



Well Maintained Home

97%



Safe Home

92%



Repairs Last 12 Months

89%



Time Taken Repairs

89%

Respectful & Helpful Engagement



Listens and Acts

86%



Kept Informed

81%



Fairly and with Respect

86%



Complaints Handling

71%

Responsible Neighbourhood Management



Communal Areas

100%



Neighbourhood Contribution

79%



Approach to Anti-Social Behaviour

74%

Year-on-Year Change – Fountain Court

Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specific Scheme with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green-coloured, while decreases are red.

| | 2023/24 | 2024/25 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| Overall Satisfaction | 78% | 92% (+14) |
| Well Maintained Home | 83% | 97% (+14) |
| Safe Home | 94% | 92% (-2) |
| Housing Management Team | 83% | 97% (+14) |
| Communal Areas | 100% | 100% (0) |
| Repairs Last 12 Months | 91% | 89% (-1) |
| Time Taken Repairs | 91% | 89% (-2) |
| Neighbourhood Contribution | 86% | 79% (-7) |
| Approach to Anti-Social Behaviour | 73% | 74% (+1) |
| Kept Informed | 82% | 81% (-2) |
| Complaints Handling | 50% * | 71% (+21) * |
| Listens and Acts | 75% | 86% (+11) |
| Fairly and with Respect | 82% | 86% (+4) |

*Less than 10 Responses

What one thing do you like about the services provided by Housing 21?

■ (n=27)



What one thing could Housing 21 do better to improve its services?


■ (n=27)



Benchmark

This chart shows the satisfaction results of this scheme (depicted by the blue line) in comparison with the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for all other social housing providers.

The quartiles refer to the upper quartile (top 25%), median (average) and lower quartile (bottom 25%) of Low Cost Rental Accommodation (LCRA) properties in the sector.





Care

97%
Overall Satisfaction



This page displays the satisfaction scores for the care survey which was only asked of residents in receipt of care provided by Housing 21.

Responses 26

Care Survey Summary

Housing 21



Safe

97%



Listened To

84%



Independent

94%



Activities and Hobbies

90%



Treat with Respect

97%



Get the Time Needed

94%



Get Help When Needed

94%



Preferences/Trained

91%



Care Plan Meets Needs

91%



Involved in Changes

85%



Time Changes
Communicated

76%



Communication Suits Me


85%

Benchmarking

When considering Housing 21 as a whole, the table on the right shows satisfaction for all Housing 21 Extra Care residents surveyed this year. Satisfaction is high with 95% satisfied with the overall services provided.

All the remaining measures received 90% or more satisfaction, apart from involved in changes (89%), activities and hobbies (86%), and 84% of residents satisfied with changes to the times they receive their care are communicated with.

The chart to the left shows your Scheme in comparison with the overall results for Housing 21, allowing you to benchmark against all other Schemes.




Year-on-Year Change

Housing 21 undertook a Care-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results, with those for 2024/25.


| | 2023/24 | 2024/25 |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Overall Care Services | 96% | 97% (+1) |
| Safe | 100% | 97% (-3) |
| Listened To | 95% | 84% (-12) |
| Independent | 95% | 94% (-2) |
| Activities and Hobbies | - * | 90% (-) |
| Treat with Respect | 100% | 97% (-3) |
| Get the Time Needed | 95% | 94% (-2) |
| Get Help When Needed | 100% | 94% (-6) |
| Preferences/Trained | - * | 91% (-) |
| Care Plan Meets Needs | 95% | 91% (-5) |
| Involved in Changes | 82% | 85% (+3) |
| Time Changes Communicated | 83% | 76% (-7) |
| Communication Suits Me | - * | 85% (-) |

*Less than 10 Responses

What do you like about your care service?



Are there any areas of your care service that could be improved?



Scheme Performance

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Energy Performance Certificate Score (Average score of all properties within the scheme) | 79.4 |
| Energy Performance Certificate Rating (Average score of all properties within the scheme) | C |
| Energy Performance Certificate - Date of assessment | 28/06/2021 |
| Overall Care Quality Commission Score | Good |
| Date of last Care Quality Commission Score | Jan 2019 |
| No. Repairs - Last 12 months (Nov) | 223 |
| Total Repairs Spend (£) - Last 12 months (Nov) | £46,047.96 |
| No. Anti-Social Behaviour Cases - Last 12 months (Nov) | 0 |
| No. Stage 1 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct) | 0 |
| No. Stage 2 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct) | 0 |
| Total No. Formal Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct) | 0 |

TSM Summary of Approach

| | |
|--|---|
| A. A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses) | 37 |
| B. Timing of survey | September 2024 to November 2024 |
| C. Collection method(s) | Online, Postal, Telephone |
| D. Sample method | Census |
| E. Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population | N/A |
| F. Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures | No weighting applied |
| G. Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures | Acuity Research & Practice Ltd |
| H. The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances | 0 |
| I. Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements | N/A |
| J. Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion | 10 x £50 shopping vouchers |
| K. Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported | None |



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact:
Denise Raine: denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity
Tel: 01273 287114
Email: acuity@arap.co.uk
Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL

