# Housing (2)

Oakwood Gardens and Mayfield Close

Tenant Satisfaction
Measures (TSM)
Scheme Report
March 2025
Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



## 86% **Overall Satisfaction**

Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

Responses 42

#### TSM Key Metrics



#### Keeping Properties in Good Repair



#### Responsible Neighbourhood Management





Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specific Scheme with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green-coloured, while decreases are red.


Year-on-Year Change – Oakwood Gardens and Mayfield <u>Close</u>

ш	$\cap$	ICI	ing	(2 I)
	-	וסי	II IM	(ZI)
			$\sim$	


	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Satisfaction	79%	86% (+6)
Well Maintained Home	74%	86% (+11)
Safe Home	71%	95% (+24)
Housing Management Team	79%	88% (+9)
Communal Areas	86%	97% (+11)
Repairs Last 12 Months	92%	86% (-7)
Time Taken Repairs	85%	76% (-8)
Neighbourhood Contribution	5.7%	69% (+18)
Approach to Anti-Social Behaviour	61%	74% (+13)
Kept Informed	62%	83% (+21)
Complaints Handling	43% *	40% (-3)
Listens and Acts	54%	63% (+10)
Fairly and with Respect	69%	83% (+14)

<sup>\*</sup>Less than 10 Responses

# What one thing do you like about the services provided by Housing 21?



# What one thing could Housing 21 do better to improve its services?



#### Benchmark

Housing (1)

This chart shows the satisfaction results of this scheme (depicted by the blue line) in comparison with the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for all other social housing providers.

The quartiles refer to the upper quartile (top 25%), median (average) and lower quartile (bottom 25%) of Low Cost Rental Accommodation (LCRA) properties in the sector.





Care


93%

Overall Satisfaction

This page displays the satisfaction scores for the care survey which was only asked of residents in receipt of care provided by Housing 21.

### Care Survey Summary

Housing(1)



When considering Housing 21 as a whole, the table on the right shows satisfaction for all Housing 21 Extra Care residents surveyed this year. Satisfaction is high with 95% satisfied with the


overall services provided.

All the remaining measures received 90% or more satisfaction, apart from involved in changes (89%), activities and hobbies (86%), and 84% of residents satisfied with changes to the times they receive their care are communicated with.

The chart to the left shows your Scheme in comparison with the overall results for Housing 21, allowing you to benchmark against all other Schemes.

#### Benchmarking







# Housing 21 undertook a Carebased survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results, with those for 2024/25.

## Year-on-Year Change




	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Care Services	100%	93% (-7)
Safe	100%	93% (-7)
Listened To	89%	93% (+4)
Independent	83%	73% (-10)
Activities and Hobbies	_ *	67% (-)
Treat with Respect	100%	100% (0)
Get the Time Needed	100%	93% (-7)
Get Help When Needed	100%	100% (0)
Preferences/Trained	- *	93% (-)
Care Plan Meets Needs	100%	100% (0)
Involved in Changes	100%	67% (-33)
Time Changes Communicated		60% (-24)
Communication Suits Me	_ *	93% (-)

#### What do you like about your care service?



# Are there any areas of your care service that could be improved?



### Scheme Performance

Energy Performance Certificate Score (Average score of all properties within the scheme)	83.3
Energy Performance Certificate Rating (Average score of all properties within the scheme)	В
Energy Performance Certificate - Date of assessment	21/08/2017
Overall Care Quality Commission Score	Good
Date of last Care Quality Commission Score	June 19
No. Repairs - Last 12 months (Nov)	300
Total Repairs Spend (£) - Last 12 months (Nov)	£82,023.33
No. Anti-Social Behaviour Cases - Last 12 months (Nov)	5
No. Stage 1 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	2
No. Stage 2 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
Total No. Formal Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	2

## TSM Summary of Approach

Housing (2)

13W 3dillillary of Approach	110001119
A. A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	42
B. Timing of survey	September 2024 to November 2024
C. Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D. Sample method	Census
E. Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F. Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G. Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
H. The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I. Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J. Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	10 x £50 shopping vouchers
K. Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported	None



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact:

Denise Raine: denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity

Tel: 01273 287114

Email: acuity@arap.co.uk

Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL







